BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 DONALD J. BALE, 4 Appellant, 5 PCHB No. 698 v. 6 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, OLYMPIC AIR POLLUTION CONCLUSIONS OF LAW CONTROL AUTHORITY, 7 AND ORDER Respondent. 8

THIS MATTER being an appeal of a \$100 civil penalty for an alleged failure to have a permit for an open fire and burning of prohibited material in an open fire in violation of respondent's Regulation I; having come on regularly for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the 22nd day of April, 1975, at Lacey, Washington; and appellant, Donal J. Bale, appearing pro se and respondent, Olympic Air Pollution Control Authority, appearing through its attorney, Fred D. Gentry; and Board members present at the hearing being Chris Smith, presiding officer, W. A. Gissberg and Walt Woodward and the Board having considered the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

sworn testimony, exhibits, records and files herein and having entered 1 on the 24th day of April, 1975, its proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, and the Board having served said proposed Findings, 3 4 Conclusions and Order upon all parties herein by certified mail, return receipt requested and twenty days having elapsed from said service; and 5 The Board having received no exceptions to said proposed Findings, 6 7 Conclusions and Order; and the Board being fully advised in the premises; 8 now therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed 9 Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, dated the 24th day of 10 11 April, 1975, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's 12Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. 13 DONE at Lacey, Washington, this 27th day of May, 1975. 14 15

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

24

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

AND ORDER

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING

I, LaRene Barlin, certify that I deposited in the United States mail, copies of the foregoing document on the 27th day of 1975, to each of the following-named parties, at the last known post office addresses, with the proper postage affixed to the respective envelopes:

Mr. Fred D. Gentry Bean, Gentry and Rathbone P. O. Box 2317 Olympia, Washington 98507

Mr. Donald J. Bale Route 2, Box 300 Raymond, Washington 98577

LARENE BARLIN

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

~ò`

1 BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON IN THE MATTER OF 3 DONALD J. BALE, 4 Appellant, PCHB No. 698 5 FINDINGS OF FACT, v. 6 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER OLYMPIC AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AUTHORITY, 8 Respondent. 9

This matter, the appeal of a \$100 civil penalty for an alleged failure to have a permit for an open fire and burning of prohibited material in an open fire in violation of respondent's Regulation 1, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Chris Smith, presiding officer, W. A. Gissberg and Walt Woodward) as a formal hearing in the Board's office in Lacey, Washington on April 22, 1975.

Appellant Donald J. Bale appeared pro se; respondent appeared by and through its attorney Fred D. Gentry.

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted.

EXHIBIT A

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

From testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

I.

FINDINGS OF FACT

.3

Respondent, pursuant to Section 5, chapter 69, Laws of 1974, 3rd Ex. Sess., has filed with this Board a certified copy of its Regulation 1 containing respondent's regulations and amendments thereto.

II.

On July 2, 1974 in Raymond, Pacific County, Washington, appellant caused some of the materials derived from the demolition of a building to be ignited. Included therein was linoleum and tarpaper which normally emits dense smoke. The fire was approximately ten feet in diameter.

II.

Appellant, in similar situations, had the assurance of certain officials of Raymond that he needed only that City's permission, which he obtained in oral form, to lawfully conduct the fire. He did, however, know that materials made of petroleum products could not be burned..

III.

Respondent caused appellant to be notified of the issuance of a Notice of Violation of Section 9.01 of its Regulation 1 and the imposition of a civil penalty in the amount of \$100.00 for having no permit and burning prohibited material.

IV.

Section 9.01(b) of respondent's Regulation 1 prohibits such open burning without a permit therefor from respondent. Section 9.01(g)

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER prohibits any open fire containing petroleum products.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

Any Conclusion of Law hereinafter recited which is deemed to be a Finding of Fact is adopted herewith as same.

V.

From these Findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes 6 to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

Appellant violated respondent's Regulation 1, Section 9.01. relied on the erroneous advice given him by the officials of the City He believed, in good faith, that he needed no other permit. of Raymond. The same good faith cannot, however, be attributed to his burning of products made from petroleum.

II.

Any Finding of Fact recited herein which is deemed to be a Conclusion of Law is adopted herewith as same.

Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this ORDER

Under the circumstances, although the violations are affirmed, \$50 of the civil penalty is suspended on condition that appellant does not incur any other violations of respondent's regulations within one year from the date of this Order.

23

24

25

26

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

3

	a llate al - 0
1	DONE at Lacey, Washington this 24th day of april, 1975.
2	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
3	(The Inuch
4	CHRIS SMITH, Chairman
5	Ma Disberg
6	W. A. GISSBERG, Member
7	Well Woodward
8	WALT WOODWARD, Member
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
6	
27	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 4